



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/538,173 | 08/07/2006 | Eran Fine | 30063 | 1754 |
| 67801 7590 02/09/2009 MARTIN D. MOYNIHAN d/b/a PRTSI, INC. P.O. BOX 16446 ARLINGTON, VA 22215 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| ROJAS, OMAR R | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2874 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 02/09/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/538,173

Applicant(s)

FINE, ERAN

Examiner

OMAR ROJAS

Art Unit

2874

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) OMAR ROJAS.(3) Eran Naftali.(2) Martin D. Moynihan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 90 and 130.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 2004/0196648 and US 6,528,755.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Naftali argued that the references were not combinable and that the '648 Publication to Franklin was directed to a tubular waveguide. Mr. Naftali argued that it would not have been obvious to modify Franklin to use a flexible waveguide sheet. The examiner agreed and said he would withdraw the previous 103 rejection. The examiner further stated that he would need time to update the search and review new claim 130 more closely.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Omar Rojas/
Examiner, Art Unit 2874